

RELATION BETWEEN THE CHANGE IN THE SURFACE
POTENTIAL OF A SOLID IN VACUUM AND THE
EFFECT OF ELASTIC DEFORMATION ON THE
WORK FUNCTION

A. Ya. Gokhshtein

UDC 532.612.3

A capacitor having a specific capacitance C and a potential difference φ is made up of smooth plates 1 and 2 having work functions φ_{01} and φ_{02} and held at a separation δ in vacuum. We denote by γ the tension along the working surface of plate 1, by ε and Ω the charge density on and the area of this surface, and by ϑ the relative change in Ω during elastic deformation of the plate $E = \varepsilon\Omega$. Then from $(\partial\gamma/\partial\varepsilon)_\vartheta = (\partial\varphi/\partial\vartheta)_\varepsilon$, we find

$$\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial\varepsilon} = \frac{\partial\varphi_{01}}{\partial\vartheta} - \varphi + \varphi_{01} - \varphi_{02} \quad (1)$$

The term $\varphi - \varphi_{01} + \varphi_{02} = \varepsilon/C$ is the contribution from the electric field between the plates. The physical properties of plate 1 are reflected in the term $\partial\varphi_{01}/\partial\vartheta$. This term drops out, and $\partial\gamma/\partial\varepsilon$ becomes a purely surface effect (the "estance" of a solid in vacuum [1]) if $\varepsilon = 0$, e.g., with $\varphi_{01} = \varphi_{02}$ and $\varphi = 0$. Near $\varepsilon = 0$ (if the effects of ε on C and $\partial\varphi_{01}/\partial\vartheta$ are slight), we find from Eq. (1)

$$\gamma(\varepsilon) - \gamma(0) = \frac{\partial\varphi_{01}}{\partial\vartheta} \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2C} \varepsilon^2. \quad (2)$$

With $\varepsilon = \Delta\varepsilon \cos \omega t$ (where ω is the frequency and t is the time), we have $\partial\varphi_{01}/\partial\vartheta = \Delta^1\gamma/\Delta\varepsilon$, where $\Delta^1\gamma$ is the amplitude of the first harmonic of γ , and the electrostatic forces (in particular, the voltage $\varepsilon^2/2C\delta$ between the plates) vary at a frequency 2ω . With $\varphi = 0$, their first harmonic is proportional to $\varphi_{01} - \varphi_{02}$. If plate 1 is rough, γ and ε in Eq. (1) refer to the median plane, and the term $\partial\varphi_{01}/\partial\vartheta$ must be multiplied by a coefficient ρ . For isotropic roughness we have $0 < \rho < 1$, because the charge concentrates at the surface protuberances, and the deformation of these protuberances reduces the effect of surface tension on the size of the plate as a whole.

LITERATURE CITED

1. A. Ya. Gokhshtein, *Élektrokimiya*, 7, 3 (1971); *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 200, 620 (1971).

Institute of Electrochemistry, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow. Translated from *Élektrokimiya*, Vol. 8, No. 8, p. 1260, August, 1972. Original article submitted March 6, 1972.

© 1973 Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. All rights reserved. This article cannot be reproduced for any purpose whatsoever without permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.